Masango, Vusi

From:	Jan Kleynhans <systeq@mweb.co.za></systeq@mweb.co.za>
Sent:	01 April 2015 07:39 AM
То:	Hinsch, Manda; fevans@srl.co.za; du Toit, Donne; Poll-Jonker, Linda; Systeq
Subject:	Power line your ref 465044
Importance:	High

Good Day,

In reference to your letter January 2015.

Please take note that we will not allow any further servitude on our property or the build of any pylons on such property for all reasons set out hereunder in previous correspondence:

Further and in addition, a servitude of width of 31 meter and the distance of 55m separation between different lines will mean a servitude that will take up an extreme large portion of our land which will mean a extreme decrease in our property value more that we originally thought. This property is only on average 100 meter in width and 670 meters long and allowing a servitude that would take up almost a third of our property in addition to the existing power line servitude is not acceptable.

We've noticed that there is a second alternative route on the proposal which follows the old unused derelict railway line and would like to comment that this route would be a far better alternative as it also does appear that the cost in the alternative to Eskom would be far less than the original proposed route.

We await your response herein.

Please acknowledge receipt of this communication.

Jan Kleynhans Member Belloord 21CC 011-782-6973

From: Jan Kleynhans Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 11:27 AM To: <u>HINM@SRK.CO.ZA</u> ; <u>Madeleine Kleynhans</u> Subject: Fw: power line your ref 465044

Good Day,

Further to our telephone conversation of today.

Re: Hartebeesfontein 472 portion 230

I would like to add to me previous objections to this new power line on my property the following:

a. This area is already a Nature Conservancy.

b. This new power line will greatly reduce the value or reselling value of our property.

c. The new proposed power line and larger pylons will also impact on our view of the Magalies Mountains, one of the reasons we bought this property was for this beautiful view from our home and property d. Our dwelling is a double storey house with a Thatch Roof and because of the risk of fire we have to upkeep the area around our home to ensure and reduce our risk of fire. On previous occasions, breakages in the existing power line caused fires further down our land. If we allow a new power line which is closer to our dwelling we open ourselves to a greater risk and therefore an huge additional cost to us would have to be incurred on-going year after year.

We await your response herein.

Jan Kleynhans Member Belloord 21CC 011-782-6973

From: Jan Kleynhans Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 10:59 AM To: mhinsch@srk.co.za ; Madeleine Kleynhans Subject: power line your ref 465044

Good day,

I refer to your letter dated simply March 2014 without specific date.

Take note that there is now detail attached which would indicate where this new servitude is planned for.

Please be advised that we would like to place on record that we would oppose any new servitude for Eskom based on the following.

1. There is an already existing servitude on our property 472 JQ Portion 230 and there is no reason why an additional or new servitude should be implemented.

2. The existing servitude can be used and upgraded with the necessary power lines to achieve the same result.

3. A new servitude in a different location than what already is in place will infringe greatly on our privacy and rights to our land

4. A new servitude will in addition infringe and take up a large portion of our land for which we have planned for new buildings and development use in future.

5. A new servitude in a different location closer to our dwelling than what is already in use could have future health implications to our family as a whole.

We await any further information from you is this regard.

Best Regards

Jan Kleynhans Member Belloord 21CC 011-782-6973